

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/080,264	02/21/2002	James L. Webber	DP-305654 9095	
7	7590 09/29/2003			
DELPHI TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			EXAMINER	
Legal Staff			CULBRETH, ERIC D	
Mail Code: 480	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		COLDIGIT	ii, Dido D
P.O. Box 5052 Troy, MI 48007-5052			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,,	,		3616	
	,		DATE MAILED: 09/29/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• , •			$\subseteq \mathcal{N}$				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advison, Action	10/080,264	WEBBER ET AL					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	Eric D Culbreth	3616					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 15 September 2003 FAILS TO PLATHER FOR FILED 15 September 2003 FAILS TO PLATHER FOR FILED 15 September 2003 FAILS TO PLATHER FOR FOR FOR FILED 15 SEPTEMBER 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appliced a timely filed amendment white all (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in				
_	EPLY [check either a) or b)].						
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad 	•	e final rejection, whicheve	orielater In no				
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datase been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 87 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.7 sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 136(a) and the appropriate e fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			;				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:						
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _		sidered but does NO	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-29</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed oni	s a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Exam	niner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·					
10. Other:		Eve Cull	th				

9/25
Eric D Culbreth
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 3616





Continuation of 2. NOTE: Contrary to applicant's remarks, the embodiment of Figure 4 still would not stop reverse motion of the cable (all the scallops 170, would pivot away from the cable). The new limitations added to claim 29 would further search and consideration.